

NA-DRU-CO

**Ruby Rose
Cold Cream**

Snow-white, rose-perfumed, delightful, Ruby Rose Cold Cream protects the skin from roughness and chaps, smooths out the incipient wrinkles, and imparts that velvety softness which is Beauty's chief charm. In 25c. opal glass jars, at your Druggist's.

**Na-Dru-Co Cucumber
and Witch Hazel Cream**

is a wonderfully cooling, healing lotion, most effective for windburn, sun-burn, chaps or sore lips. In 25c. bottles, at your Druggist's.

National Drug and Chemical
Co. of Canada, Limited.
MONTREAL. 195

**BOARD OF TRADE DISCUSSES
SOME VITAL QUESTIONS**

(Continued from page 1)

taken. The committee consists of J. H. Thompson, D. Sutherland and F. G. Dawson.

Waterfront Facilities.

Another question of great importance discussed was that of waterfront locations for industries. G. W. Nickerson, a member of the committee on this question, reported that no satisfaction had been obtained from the government. The matter is a serious one for the city and action should be taken. On motion of Messrs. Naden and Thompson the committee was instructed to interview H. S. Clements, M. P., who is now in the city.

Communications.

The secretary reported receiving a wire from F. A. Ellis suggesting that arrangements be made for sending mineral exhibits to the international fair at Chicago. After some discussion the secretary was instructed to forward the communication to T. J. Vaughn-Rhys, Stewart, and the secretary of the Hazelton District Mine Owners' Association.

The Bella Coola Development League wrote thanking the board for its co-operation in securing more direct transportation between Bella Coola and Prince Rupert. In connection with this Mr. Dawson stated that the C. P. R. had arranged a satisfactory summer service and would make arrangements so that there would be no danger of produce freezing during the winter months at the point where the transfer is made.

A communication from Harry Smith, formerly of Stewart, offering his services as publicity agent for the city at Oakland, California, was received and filed.

F. J. Hayward, who had charge of the B. C. fisheries exhibits at Toronto Exhibition wrote stating that the exhibits sent by Mr. W. Shrubsall and Mr. Crippen were attracting much attention.

In connection with the granting of fishing licenses, G. W. Nickerson reported that the committee on this matter had presented their recommendations to Hon. J. D. Hazen when he visited the city but nothing further had been heard.

New Members

Two new members, C. E. Bainster and Th. Collart were unanimously elected. The latter, who was present, thanked the members and offered the free use of a building in Market Place for storing the mineral exhibit in case it became necessary to move them from the present premises. The meeting then adjourned.

Theft Case Adjourned

Fred Benson, an Indian from Stewart, appeared before Magistrate McMullin at 2.30 yesterday afternoon charged with stealing a pair of ladies' shoes. After hearing a portion of the evidence of Mr. George, the case was adjourned until Monday next in order that an interpreter might be secured.

Mr. Hunter

According to a circular just issued by the department of marine, the quarantine wharf at Digby Island is 497 feet in length and 40 feet wide. There are depths of 42 feet at each end and 30 feet at the middle. The wharf runs due north and south.

Mr. Hunter, who has been inspecting the local branch of the Union Bank, left by this morning's train to inspect the interior branches. He was undecided this morning as to whether or not he would go on through to Fort George and return to Vancouver via Ashcroft.

Mrs. Lombe left by this morning's train for Hazelton after spending a week at the home of Bishop Du Vernet. Mrs. Lombe, who lives at Torquay, England, has been touring various parts of the world during the last six months. On her return from the interior she expects to leave for England.

Mr. and Mrs. J. M. Sewall of Hastings, Neb., arrived in the city this morning on the steamer Prince Rupert. Mr. Sewall, who has some financial interests in the city, spent several weeks here last spring for the purpose of getting thoroughly in touch with conditions and prospects.

Miss Dudsie Dunn, daughter of Mr. Thomas Dunn of San Diego, Cal., formerly of this city, arrived on the Prince Rupert this morning. She is a guest of her brother, Hugh Dunn of the customs office. Miss Dunn visited friends at San Francisco, Seattle and Vancouver on her way north. She expects to remain in the city for a couple of months.

H. S. Clements, M. P., left by this morning's train to inspect the government fish hatchery at Lakelse. He may also go in to Kitsumkalum Lake to investigate conditions in reference to the establishment of a hatchery there. The genial representative has very suddenly budded into an authority on fish. Incidentally he will extend the glad hand to likely supporters at the next election.

LOCAL NEWS ITEMS.**S. S. PRINCE RUPERT
PASSENGER LIST**

**About Fifty Saloon Passengers
Arrived from the South
This Morning.**

Mr. and Mrs. J. R. Stirrat of Seattle were arrivals by the steamer Prince Rupert this morning.

E. H. Fletcher, post office inspector, left by this morning's train on an official trip into the interior.

Messrs. H. P. Wilson, J. C. Halsey and Adair Carss left by this morning's train on a shooting expedition up-river.

The Princess Mary, from the south, due last evening, is expected at 3 o'clock this afternoon.

Mrs. C. W. Homer returned this morning from a few weeks' visit with friends in the lower coast cities.

J. G. Millichamp, a well known drummer on the coast, arrived on the steamer Prince Rupert this morning.

Mrs. Besner and Mrs. Griffiths left by this morning's train for Smithers. They expect to return next Thursday.

Mr. Lucas, of the G. T. P. engineering staff, returned this morning from a business trip south.

Joe Vaccher was fined the costs of the court this morning for burning rubbish in Section One contrary to law.

The steamer Spokane, which struck near Cape Lazo about a week ago on its way south, is expected in port tomorrow night, northbound.

Bishop Du Vernet left this morning on the mission launch Northern Cross in company with Rev. Mr. Rushbrook on a visit to Kincolith and Stewart.

G. Davis, who has charge of the retail stores operated by the Granby Consolidated Smelting, Mining & Power Co., Ltd., is in the city today, en route to Granby Bay.

Miss Campbell, of the nursing staff at the general hospital, returned by the steamer Prince Rupert this morning from a couple of weeks' holiday in the southern coast cities.

The ladies of the Presbyterian Church will hold a ten cent tea and domestic table at the home of Mrs. Andrew Stewart, 508 Fifth avenue east, on Wednesday, October 15th, from three to five o'clock.

Chief Vickers is in receipt of no additional information concerning Mrs. Vickers, who was taken suddenly ill with typhoid fever just as she was about ready to return home from her visit in England.

N. S. Ross, of the marine and fisheries department, arrived in the city yesterday on the Garnet, the new boat for protecting the Queen Charlotte Islands fisheries. He will leave for the south today.

According to a circular just issued by the department of marine, the quarantine wharf at Digby Island is 497 feet in length and 40 feet wide. There are depths of 42 feet at each end and 30 feet at the middle. The wharf runs due north and south.

Mr. Hunter, who has been inspecting the local branch of the Union Bank, left by this morning's train to inspect the interior branches. He was undecided this morning as to whether or not he would go on through to Fort George and return to Vancouver via Ashcroft.

Mrs. Lombe left by this morning's train for Hazelton after spending a week at the home of Bishop Du Vernet. Mrs. Lombe, who lives at Torquay, England, has been touring various parts of the world during the last six months. On her return from the interior she expects to leave for England.

Mr. and Mrs. J. M. Sewall of Hastings, Neb., arrived in the city this morning on the steamer Prince Rupert. Mr. Sewall, who has some financial interests in the city, spent several weeks here last spring for the purpose of getting thoroughly in touch with conditions and prospects.

Miss Dudsie Dunn, daughter of Mr. Thomas Dunn of San Diego, Cal., formerly of this city, arrived on the Prince Rupert this morning. She is a guest of her brother, Hugh Dunn of the customs office. Miss Dunn visited friends at San Francisco, Seattle and Vancouver on her way north. She expects to remain in the city for a couple of months.

H. S. Clements, M. P., left by this morning's train to inspect the government fish hatchery at Lakelse. He may also go in to Kitsumkalum Lake to investigate conditions in reference to the establishment of a hatchery there. The genial representative has very suddenly budded into an authority on fish. Incidentally he will extend the glad hand to likely supporters at the next election.

**LEGAL TROUBLES
ALREADY STARTED**

**Returning Officer for Chateauguay, Election Being Today,
Started Suit.**

Montreal, Oct. 6. — Legal trouble has already started over the Chateauguay election, though the candidates have only just been selected and election day is not until Saturday, October 11th. The trouble is over the appointment of Mr. J. E. C. Bumbray as returning officer and on account of it Mr. Bumbray, through his attorney, Mr. Gauthier, has issued a writ of \$10,000 damages against Mr. Albert Pigeon, editor and proprietor of the Bulletin.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly in the newspapers, before September 18th. In the Bulletin edition of September 18th, the plaintiff alleges, he was attacked professionally and personally, causing damage to his reputation, and that it was intimated that as he, the plaintiff, was mayor of Chateauguay the election could not be conducted honestly because being mayor he would use his influence to get votes in favor of one of the candidates. Mr. Bumbray is a well known Montreal barrister.

The plaintiff claims that several days before September 18th he was officially appointed returning officer for the Chateauguay election, and that this fact was generally published, particularly