

PRINCE RUPERT
THE PACIFIC
PORT OF PROGRESS
Vol. V, No. 62

THE DAILY NEWS

GRUNTY GRUNDY SAYS:
If all the suggestions for Sunday entertainment are followed out Mr. Gill's Seattle will have nothing on Rupert as a gay and giddy town.

PRINCE RUPERT, B.C., TUESDAY, MARCH 17, 1914

PRICE FIVE CENTS

WILL ASK U.S. TO RELEASE CANADIAN PRISONER

FRENCH MINISTER'S WIFE MURDERED EDITOR -- PRINCE RUPERT TO HAVE SUNDAY POOL

COUNCIL FAVORS SUNDAY POOL AFTER EXTENDED DEBATE

CITY SOLICITOR TO PREPARE A BYLAW TO ALLOW POOL ROOMS OPEN SUNDAYS--WEEK DAY STAFF WILL NOT BE ALLOWED TO OPERATE THEM--CLERGYMEN MADE PROTEST

Pool was once more subject of a lengthy debate in city council. It is a question which has a habit of making an appearance at the city council in an inverse or an adverse particular appearance is application for permission to open Sundays. The petition made by the pool room owners who argued that the pool rooms were used in lieu of men's license committee could come to a decision on the question and brought it back to council.

The mayor introduced a deputation from the Ministerial association simultaneously with the debate. The debate followed and the question was asked, and the limited audience was treated to a dissertation on public morals, special regulations, commercialized sport, legislation, Sunday observance and a lurid description of a pool room across Fulton street. It did not have its source in pool rooms. The pool rooms of the city came from a good deal of indirect suggestion. They were a convenient target for the barbs of the grand stand and the applause at each bull's ministerial deputation first.

Canon Rix protested against the granting of the application. One of the arguments in favor of it was that certain clubs have that privilege. It is in things unseemly and unbecoming. He hoped it was not true. He hoped the clubs will rise up and say they are not true; that will repudiate the charge there is drinking and gambling on the sabbath day. If true the members ought to be ashamed of themselves and after themselves and give themselves a different public relation. But even if it is true there is no reason the city council representatives of the whole should make it easy for men to do things contrary to the law of God and the well-being of humanity. If the city had a public pool room it would be a good thing. Is the only pool room surrounded by workingmen for the player? The request comes from owners, from those infatuated with the game to their own detriment, by those who put themselves always against the consensus of opinion, and by mis-

KING GEORGE SEES ARMY BOXING MATCH

First Reigning Monarch to Witness Public Exhibition of Fistic Art

(Special to The Daily News)
London, March 17.—King George has attained the distinction of being the first reigning monarch to witness a public exhibition of the noble art of self-defense. As a guest of the Second Life Guards, of which he is colonel, he was entertained at a boxing and fencing tournament at Regents Park barracks. Bombardier Wells, former British heavyweight champion, and Pat O'Keefe of Ireland gave a fair exhibition of sparring. Several clever amateurs took part.

PRIVATE SEWER CASE WILL BE ARBITRATED

Some Aldermen Think Attempt Has Been Made to Hold Up Beveridge

Reports were received by the city council last evening from the city solicitor and city engineer on the appeal of Mr. Beveridge to get permission to drain in the private sewer on Fourth avenue at a reasonable cost. He was asked \$100 for the privilege by the owners of the sewer. He offered \$50.

The officers' reports were to the effect that the private sewer entered the city sewer in the lane at the city hall. The same privilege might be granted to Mr. Beveridge, but the question of payment to get into the private sewer was not one for the city council to deal with.

The board of works committee recommended the adoption of the report.

Ald. Morrissey said Mr. Beveridge as a citizen and investor did not seem to have gotten fair treatment. This private sewer cost about \$150 for six lots, and now they want \$400 for one. It looks like a holdup.

Ald. McClymont said the council should be on its guard in the future when giving permission for private sewers and get an agreement whereby others can get into the sewer on an equitable basis.

Ald. Montgomery suggested a committee to arbitrate. This suggestion was adopted.

The mayor appointed himself, Ald. Morrissey and Ald. McClymont as an arbitration committee.

St. Patrick's Day in the Evening
St. Patrick's concert tonight promises to be a grand success. The popularity of those on the program has already assured that fact. It will be held in the Westholme theatre and there is sure to be a full house. An address, in addition to the musical program, will be delivered by Mr. J. C. Brady.

Calgary recently sold two and a quarter million dollars' worth of twenty-year five per cent debentures at 93 1/2 plus accrued interest, which means a net price of 96 to the city.

Edmonton sold two million dollars' worth of twenty-year fives at 94.11 plus accrued interest, or a flat net rate of 98 1/2.

Why is it Prince Rupert does not alter her issues and get into the market while there is action?

TENDERS FOR SCOW ON SHAWATLANS

Eight Applicants Offer to Build Freight Craft for the City

Tenders for building a freight scow on Shawatlangs lake were opened at the city council meeting last evening. There were eight of them:

A. H. McPherson asked \$610.
C. W. Tansley, \$850.
Geo. Ambrose and John Nelson, \$699.70.
Wm. Angle & Co., \$520.
Charles Benson, \$580.
Chas. Wallace, \$725.
W. J. Sinclair, \$787.

It was left to the board of works to accept the lowest tender providing he will guarantee delivery as required.

High Voltage Causes Panic

(Special to The Daily News)
Calgary, March 17.—An extra high voltage caused the death of two men in different parts of the city. It seriously burned another and shocked a number of others. This created a small panic all over the town, which was only allayed by closing down the high voltage lines and putting a part of the city in darkness.

Hope never deserts man. When a man is young he hopes to attain fame. When he's old he hopes to escape the poor house.

ST. PATRICK'S DAY CELEBRATION TO-NIGHT

Westholme Theatre

- PROGRAMME.**
1. Irish Airs.....Westholme Orchestra
 2. Solo—"Where the River Shannon Flows".....Mr. J. Black
 3. Recitation.....Mr. Brady
 4. Character Dialogue—"Tit for Tat".....Mary Gray and Florrie Gray
 5. Solo—"Marie, My Girl".....Mrs. Wm. Miller
 6. Trio—"Eileen Allanah".....Messrs. Shrubbsall, Waddell, and Clapperton
 7. Solo—"An Irish Folk Song".....Arthur Foote
 8. Address—"The Day We Celebrate".....Mr. E. V. Ling
 9. Irish Melodies.....Westholme Orchestra
 10. Solo—"Come Back to Erin".....Mrs. H. D. Hemell
 11. Solo—"Les Cloches".....Mr. G. Couture
 12. Solo—"My Isle of Dreams".....Mr. H. G. Fletcher
 13. Comedy Sketch—"Two Flats and One Sharp".....Major Keye.....Mr. Fitzmaurice; Mrs. Keye.....Miss Curtin; Mrs. Minor (Mrs. Keye's mother).....Mrs. Chisholm
 14. Special Dance.....Ethel Gray
 15. "God Save the King."

Admission 50 Cents and \$1.00
Seats reserved at Orme's Third Avenue Store
Pantorium Pioneer Cleaners.
Phone 4.

STATESMAN'S WIFE MURDERED EDITOR

Editor of Leading French Paper Shot by Wife of Finance Minister

(Special to The Daily News)
Paris, March 17.—Gaston Calmettes, the editor of Figaro, the leading French newspaper, was shot dead by Madam Caillaux, the wife of the French minister of finance. The Figaro is a political opponent of the present ministry. Caillaux has only held office for the past few months. He is a Radical-Socialist.

HAVE GOT THE MONEY AND MUCH TO SPARE

Statement of Minister of Finance on Question of Paying for Hydro-electric

At the council meeting last evening the electric light superintendent suggested that work be started clearing the pole line right of way through the city and that he be given permission to start putting up poles at this end.

The committee recommended the adoption of the report.

Ald. Morrison thought the council was going too fast in starting the line work before they had the money. Heavy obligations had already been made for construction and pipe. The council ought to get the money first. Otherwise they would find themselves tied hand and foot. He advised a bylaw to raise the necessary extra amount and he was confident it would pass. If such a bylaw was not passed there would probably be an injunction.

Ald. Morrissey said Ald. Morrison was evidently not in touch. He claimed the council now had in its possession sufficient money to carry out the undertaking if the figures for piping and clearing were given in good faith. There would be \$60,000 left for machinery and all that would not be required. "We will still have \$15,000 available."

A vote was taken on the question and Ald. Morrison was the only one dissenting.

CITY POUND KEEPER UNDER SCRUTINY

The license committee investigated the pound keeper's affairs under complaint of a letter read in council meeting a week ago. They found the bylaw being utterly ignored and complete lack of system. Incidentally the pound keeper has six or eight dogs on which he has not paid a license.

The committee recommended revising the bylaw, taking all taxes and fees and paying the pound keeper a salary. The dog taxes amounted to \$960 last year, of which the pound keeper got half. There were also other fees.

The report was adopted.

Will William Manson please explain why he insists in giving a report of his stewardship exclusively to his own bunch. Why does he treat those who do not support him as if they were not citizens? To Saturday night's meeting he invited only members of his own party. There is a British custom that as soon as a man is elected he at once represents all the people. William Manson repudiates this. A great many people voted for him because they thought he was fair and broad-minded. What will that important part of the electorate have to say about his recent conduct?

ST. PATRICK'S CELEBRATION LAST PRECEDING HOME RULE

FIFTY THOUSAND WILL MARCH TODAY IN NEW YORK--SHAMROCKS AT A PREMIUM

(Special to The Daily News)
New York, March 17.—Today will probably be the last celebration of the 17th of March before the home rule bill becomes law. This will happen within the next year.

One of the greatest demonstrations ever planned is arranged for today and Irishmen are gathering from all parts of the United States and Canada. It is predicted that there will be nearly fifty thousand marchers in the parade when the event starts today at one o'clock. Shamrocks are selling at a premium.

REPORT OF MARINE DISASTER SHOWS DIVERGENT VIEWPOINT

ONE INSPECTOR BLAMES NANTUCKET--OTHER BLAMES THE MUNROE'S CAPTAIN--WILL CONSULT COMMERCE DEPT.

(Special to The Daily News)
Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander of the Philadelphia, March 17.—Two United States local inspectors of steam vessels who investigated the disaster of January 30, when the steamship Nantucket rammed the Munroe, causing a loss of 41 lives, rendered a divided opinion. One holds that the captain of the Nantucket was guilty of negligence, and the other charged the commander